UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,155	11/20/2003	Christopher J. Moran	3433-483	9003
Woodard, Emhardt, Moriarty, McNett & Henry LLP Bank One Center/Tower			EXAMINER	
			BUI, VY Q	
Suite 3700 111 Monument Circle			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Indianapolis, IN 46204-5137			3773	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/15/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/718,155	MORAN ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Vy Q. Bui	3773		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vortice and the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Fe	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 27-37 and 40-67 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 28,30,34,36,51-54,55 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 27,29,31-33, 35, 37, 40-50, 55-58, 61 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	9,60 and 63-67 is/are withdrawn the second s	from consideration.		
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Art Unit: 3773

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

A review of the prosecution of the case reveals a comminuted form of material for the device was elected (paper 3/23/2007) for further examination in this present invention. A different election of the species for examination, such as a coil as shown in Fig. 8-9, will shift the focus on another non-elected species and will lengthen the prosecution of the present application.

Therefore, claims 28 and 34 (coil device), claims 30, 36, 51-54 (submucosa sheet), claims 59-60, 63, 67 (metallic backbone) and claims 64-66 (helical component) have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention.

Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 3/23/2007.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 32, 37, 41-43, 45-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Ritchart et al.- 4,994,069.

As to claims 32, 37, 42-43, 45-46, Ritchart-'069 (F. 10; C 1, L 25-52; C 3, L 42-49) discloses an embolization device as an injectable fluid or gel, such as a microfibrillar collagen (C 1, L 30-43) and especially a drug-contained collagen bolus 74 as an occluding device, which is injected by device 12 (F 10; C 4, L 26-28) to cause a full occlusion and full blockage in a blood vessel.

As to claim 41, Ritchart-'069 also discloses radio contrast material (C 1, L 45-48) is mixed in an injectable gel for fluoroscopic viewing.

Note that by nature, collagen is an extracellular protein matrix in skin, bone, cartilage, tendon, teeth to form strong insoluble fibers and to serve as connective tissue between cells.

Application/Control Number: 10/718,155 Page 3

Art Unit: 3773

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

1. Claims 27, 29, 31, 33, 35, 40 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ritchart et al.- 4,994,069 in view of Badylak et al.-5,275,826.

As to claims 27, 29, 31, 33, 35, 40 and 44, Ritchart-'069 discloses substantially the claimed invention, except for the injectable embolization fluid or gel comprising a submucosa. However, Badylak-'826 (abstract, for example) discloses comminuted submucosa in an injectable fluid or gel suitable for injection into a warm blooded body as a matrix for a regrowth of the local tissue (C 1, L 16-39). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to replace the injectable fluid or gel of Ritchart-'069 with injectable fluid or gel of submucosa as taught by Badylak-'826, as this configuration would provide a matrix for a regrowth of the local tissue and therefore promote a natural local occlusion of a treatment site.

2. Claims 47-48, 50, 55-58, 61-62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ritchart et al.- 4,994,069 in view of Badylak et al.-5,275,826 and further in view of Boock et al.-6,187,024 B1.

As to claims 47-48, 50, 55-58, 61-62, as indicated in 103(a) rejection item 1 above, Ritchart-'069 and Badylak-'826 discloses substantially the claimed invention, except for a biotropic agent such as a growth factor as recited in the claims. However, Boock-'024 (for example: F. 1, 3; C 3, L 32-44) discloses an embolization device 100 having an outer coating of a proteinacious, preferably collageneous material in nature mixed with a growth factor to promote a local tissue growth. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a growth factor to the embolization device to promote a local tissue growth to more effectively occlude the local site.

As to claim 49, amniotic is a well known material for an implant into a patient body because amniotic is a natural biocompatible material. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to replace a natural biocompatible collagen material or a natural biocompatible submucosa material for an amniotic material as amniotic material is also a natural biocompatible suitable for an implant in a body.

Art Unit: 3773

Note that an embolization device is well known for filling an aneurysm to fully occlude the aneurysm to prevent any further expansion of the aneurysm inside a body.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the rejected claims in the previous "Office Action" have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vy Q. Bui whose telephone number is 571-272-4692. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Tuesday and Thursday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jackie Ho can be reached on 571-272-4696. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Vy Q. Bui/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3773